

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET		CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/873,481 06/04/2001		Douglas J. Kerkvliet	C535.12-0002	6544	
164	7590 09/09/2002				
KINNEY &	LANGE, P.A.	EXAMINER			
312 SOUTH 7	W & LANGE BUILDING THIRD STREET		REDMAN, JERRY E		
MINNEAPOL	IS, MN 55415-1002		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3634		
			DATE MAILED: 09/09/2002	!	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-1	
16	
3	

		Application	ı No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/873,481		KERKVLIET, DOUGLAS J.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Jerry Red	man	3634			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 June 2001</u> .						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is r	ion-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
-	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>36-64</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) 36-64 is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		,				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers							
9) 🗌 .	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) 🔲 .	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	oted or b)	objected to by the Exar	miner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s)	oe held in abeyance. So	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) 🗌 🤈	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a) <u> </u> ap	proved b)⊡ disappro	ved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	oly to this Off	ce action.				
12) 🗌	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			•			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
* <u>c</u>	 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s)							
1) Notice 2) Notice	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>7</u>			/ (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 3634

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 49, 52-54, 57, and 60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Andersen. Andersen discloses an overhead door assembly comprising a door panel (10) having a bottome member (16), a first and second vertical side members (18 and 20), and a top member (14), hinging support members (66, 70, 72, and 74) connected to a top portion of the door panel (10), and a truss (27 and 28) supporting a bottom member (16).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 50, 51, 55, 56, 58, and 59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Andersen in view of Wentzel. All of the elements of the instant invention are discussed in detail above except providing a weatherstripping around the periphery of the closure. Wentzel discloses an overhead door assembly with weatherstripping extending around the periphery of the closure. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the overhead door assembly of Andersen with weatherstripping as taught by Wentzel since

Art Unit: 3634

weatherstripping allows one to seal around and between an opening in a structure and the panel thereby sealing the building structure from the environment.

Claim 62 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Andersen in view of Belgium patent to D'Haveloose. All of the elements of the instant invention are discussed in detail above except providing a ground anchoring device. Belgium patent to D'Haveloose discloses a ground anchoring device having a plate which mounts bols to support vertical members. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide Andersen with a ground anchoring assembly as taught by d'Haveloose since bolts anchored to the ground improves the rigidity of the vertical support members.

Claims 63 and 64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Andersen and D'Haveloose as applied to claim 62 above, and further in view of Mursinna. All of the elements of the instant invention are discussed in detail above except providing an operator in the form of a hydraulic cylinder which opens and closes the door panel. Mursinna discloses a door assembly having a 3-way hydraulic cylinder which operates the door to an opened and closed position. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide Andresen with an automatic door assembly as taught by Mursinna since an automatic door assembly allows one to easly operate the door to an opened and closed position.

Claims 36, 38-41, 43-45, 48, and 61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Andresen in view of Mursinna. All of the elements of the instant invention are discussed in detail above except providing an operator in the form of a

Art Unit: 3634

hydraulic cylinder which opens and closes the door panel. Mursinna discloses a door assembly having a 3-way hydraulic cylinder which operates the door to an opened and closed position. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide Andresen with an automatic door assembly as taught by Mursinna since an automatic door assembly allows one to easily operate the door to an opened and closed position. With respect to claims 39 and 40, it would have been further obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the door operator to move the door panel to an opened and closed position in a time interval of 28-32 seconds since this time interval would be sufficient to allow one to move into or out an opening and would be a matter of design choice.

Claims 37 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Andresen and Mursinna as applied to claim 36 above, and further in view of Wentzel. All of the elements of the instant invention are discussed in detail above except providing a weatherstripping around the periphery of the closure. Wentzel discloses an overhead door assembly with weatherstripping extending around the periphery of the closure. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the overhead door assembly of Andersen with weatherstripping as taught by Wentzel since weatherstripping allows one to seal around and between an opening in a structure and the panel thereby sealing the building structure from the environment.

Claims 46 and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Andresen and Mursinna as applied to claim 36 above, and further in view of

Art Unit: 3634

AT OHI. 3034

except providing a ground anchoring device. Belgium patent to D'Haveloose discloses

d'Haveloose. All of the elements of the instant invention are discussed in detail above

a ground anchoring device having a plate which mounts bols to support vertical

members. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of

the invention to provide Andersen with a ground anchoring assembly as taught by

d'Haveloose since bolts anchored to the ground improves the rigidity of the vertical

support members.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jerry Redman

at telephone number 703-308-2120.

Jerry Redman
Primary Examiner

Page 5